

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	CRIMINAL NO. _____
v.	:	DATE FILED: _____
ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH	:	VIOLATIONS:
	:	26 U.S.C. § 7201 (income tax evasion - 3
	:	counts)
	:	Notice of additional factors
	:	
	:	
	:	

INDICTMENT

COUNT ONE

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

At all times material to this indictment:

1. Defendant ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH worked as a developmental engineer and performed subcontractor work for various companies.

2. Defendant ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH received approximately \$158,136.51 in income during 1998.

3. On or about April 15, 1999, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH,

a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, willfully attempted to evade and defeat income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 1998 by failing to make an income tax return on or about April 15, 1999, as required by law, to any proper officer of

the Internal Revenue Service, and by failing to pay to the Internal Revenue Service said income tax, and by concealing and attempting to conceal from all proper officers of the United States of America his true and correct income through various means, including, among other things: (1) transferring ownership of his assets to fraudulent trusts; (2) encumbering his assets; and (3) hiding his money in overseas bank accounts.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

COUNT TWO

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

At all times material to this indictment:

1. Defendant ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH worked as a developmental engineer and performed subcontractor work for various companies.

2. Defendant ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH received approximately \$43,229.13 in income during 1999.

3. On or about April 15, 2000, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH,

a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, willfully attempted to evade and defeat income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 1999 by failing to make an income tax return on or about April 15, 2000, as required by law, to any proper officer of the Internal Revenue Service, and by failing to pay to the Internal Revenue Service said income tax, and by concealing and attempting to conceal from all proper officers of the United States of America his true and correct income through various means, including, among other things: (1) transferring ownership of his assets to fraudulent trusts; (2) encumbering his assets; and (3) hiding his money in overseas bank accounts.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

COUNT THREE

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

At all times material to this indictment:

1. Defendant ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH worked as a developmental engineer and performed subcontractor work for various companies.

2. Defendant ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH received approximately \$19,918.34 in income during 2000.

3. On or about April 15, 2001, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

ARTHUR L. FARNSWORTH,

a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, willfully attempted to evade and defeat income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 2000 by failing to make an income tax return on or about April 15, 2001, as required by law, to any proper officer of the Internal Revenue Service, and by failing to pay to the Internal Revenue Service said income tax, and by concealing and attempting to conceal from all proper officers of the United States of America his true and correct income through various means, including, among other things: (1) transferring ownership of his assets to fraudulent trusts; (2) encumbering his assets; and (3) hiding his money in overseas bank accounts.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

NOTICE OF ADDITIONAL FACTORS

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

1. In committing the offenses charged in Counts One through Three of this indictment, defendant ARTHUR FARNSWORTH:

a. Committed an offense which involved sophisticated means, as described in U.S.S.G. § 2T1.1(b)(2).

b. Committed an offense in which the tax loss exceeded \$80,000, as described in U.S.S.G. § 2T4.1(F)

A TRUE BILL:

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

PATRICK L. MEEHAN
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY